

Isospin Violation and Possible Signatures of Disoriented Chiral Condensates in Ultrarelativistic Heavy Ion Collisions

Thomas D. Cohen

Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-4111

Abstract

It is possible that isospin violating dynamical effects are amplified due to coherence if a disoriented chiral condensate (or other source of a coherent pion state) is formed in a heavy ion collision. It is shown explicitly that altering the isospin of the coherent state by order of one unit can change the number of π^0 's in the condensate by some finite fraction of the total number. A possible signature of such amplified isospin violating effects is that the ratio of neutral to total low p_T pions averaged over many events may differ markedly from $1/3$. Such a signal would not be washed out if multiple domains of coherent pions form.

There has been much recent speculation about the possible formation of regions of coherent or classical pion states in ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions [1–10,?,12–22,?,24,27]. The usual context for such discussions is the disoriented chiral condensate (D χ C) scenario [4–13,?,15–24,27].

It is generally assumed that the pions emerging from such states will be seen at low p_T and that the experimental study of low p_T may provide experimental signatures of such states. This letter will focus on isospin violating effects, which heretofore have been neglected in these studies. Such effects arise from electromagnetic interactions and due to the mass difference of the up and down quarks. In this letter it will be argued that isospin violating effects might radically alter the phenomenological predictions of a D χ C or other sources of coherent pion state. The coherent nature of the states may amplify the intrinsically effect of the isospin violation so that rather modest isospin admixtures into the wave function can have large consequences. The effects become particularly interesting if the admixtures grow with the number of particles in the condensate. In this case, the average ratio of neutral to total, low p_T , pions may differ markedly from 1/3. This is a signal which will not be washed out even if multiple uncorrelated regions of coherent pions form.

A concrete example is the situation in which a single domain of coherent pions is formed which has an expectation value of N pions where N is large. One natural quantity to study is $R \equiv N_0/N$, where N_0 is the number of π_0 's in the condensed state and N is the total number of pions. If there are no sources of isospin violation and the condensed state is a pure isoscalar, then one finds that for large N the probability that the R will be found in some interval dR is [3,5,6,9]

$$P(R) dR = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{R}} dR. \quad (1)$$

Suppose, however, that the isospin carried by the coherent pions is not exactly zero, how much of an alteration would one find in eq. (1)? Since each pion carries a single unit of isospin, under normal circumstances one can alter the isospin of a system of N pions by one unit by simply changing the isospin of a single pion. However, in a coherent state of

pions the isospin of a single pion cannot be altered in isolation. The consequence of this is that changing the isospin of the system by one unit typically changes the number of π_0 by order of aN units, where a is less than 1 and independent of N . For example, if the state is isospin zero, the expected number of π_0 's— $\langle N_0 \rangle$ —is $1/3 N$, while, as will be shown below, if the state is a purely chargeless $I = 1$ state, then $\langle N_0 \rangle = 3/5 N$. Coherence has amplified the effect of changing a single unit of isospin into an effect proportional to N . In this letter, it will be shown that rather small admixtures of $I \neq 0$ components in the state can lead to large changes in the distribution of the number of neutral pions.

The idea that isospin admixtures of order unity can yield effects of order N when one has coherent pions has been considered previously in a different context: such effects are seen in calculations of $\bar{p}p$ annihilation done in the context of the Skyrme model [28,29]. In that problem the isospin admixture is a consequence of the $\bar{p}p$ initial state. The present suggestion is novel in that these effects can come about due to isospin violating interactions which are normally neglected and, more importantly, that they can lead to clear signatures of coherent pions in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions. This letter will focus on the problem of isospin admixtures which keep the charge fixed at zero.

Since this letter proposes to study quantum mechanical admixtures of isospin, some model quantum mechanical state is required. The basic underlying assumption is that some particular quantal mode—*i.e.* some particular spatial distribution—has a macroscopic occupation; the classical limit is simply the large quantum number limit as in the usual correspondence principle. The particular choice of a quantum state builds in both the generic classical (mean-field) features of interest and essentially arbitrary fluctuations around the mean. However, so long as one studies the large number limit the effects of the fluctuations become small compared to the mean and the results become independent of the particular choice. First consider a classical state associated with a single scalar (or pseudoscalar) field. Define creation and annihilation operators associated with a spatial distribution of the field given by $\phi(x)$:

$$a_\phi^\dagger \equiv \int d^3x d^3k \frac{e^{ik \cdot x} \phi(x)}{2\omega_k} a_k^\dagger \quad (2)$$

For convenience, it will be assumed the ϕ is normalized ($\int d^3x \phi(x)^2 = 1$) which implies $[a_\phi, a_\phi^\dagger] = 1$. The state associated with N particles in this mode is $|N\rangle_\phi \equiv N^{-1/2} (a_\phi^\dagger)^N |0\rangle$ where $|0\rangle$ is the state of zero occupation. Any superposition of these states, $\sum_N c_N |N\rangle_\phi$ corresponds to the classical configuration so long as $\langle N \rangle \gg 1$. In this letter any such superposition will be referred to as a coherent state (sometimes referred to as a generalized coherent state); one common and convenient choice is the standard coherent state $e^{-\beta^2/2} e^{-\beta a_\phi^\dagger} |0\rangle$ [30].

For an isotriplet of fields one with fixed spatial distribution there are simply three creation and annihilation operators which satisfy the commutation relations of oscillator in the three isospin directions. The analogous state for an isotriplet is parameterized by a unit vector $\hat{n}$ which points in some fixed direction in isospace. The state with N particles with is given by $|\hat{n}, N\rangle_\phi \equiv N^{-1/2} (\hat{n} \cdot \vec{a}_\phi^\dagger)^N |0\rangle$ For notational convenience the subscript ϕ indicating the spatial shape will be dropped in the remainder of this letter. Again, any superposition of these states with different N 's gives a coherent state which corresponds to the classical configuration so long as $\langle N \rangle \gg 1$.

For any nonzero particle number, the coherent state is not an eigenstate of isospin. To associate a state of good isospin with the state one must project. Much of the work on the subject has assumed that the physical state of interest (if isospin violating dynamics is neglected) is an $I = 0$ projection of the coherent state [6,9]. For the sake of concreteness and simplicity, this picture will be assumed to be correct (neglecting isospin violating interactions) in this letter. In subsequent work, a more general case will be considered in which even neglecting isospin violating interactions the condensate carries nonzero isospin; however, it is strongly correlated with the isospin carried by the other particles in the system yielding a net isoscalar as in the scenario discussed in ref. [20].

A normalized isospin projected state of particle fixed number is parameterized by I , I_3 and N . The isospin projected state is given by

$$|I, I_3, N\rangle \equiv \mathcal{N}_{I, I_3, N} \int d\Omega Y_{I, I_3}(\Omega) |\hat{n}(\Omega), N\rangle \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{I,I_3,N}$ is an overall normalization factor.

For an $I = 0$ condensate with $\langle N \rangle \gg 1$, one obtains the distribution in eq. (1) from the states in eq. (3) with any superposition of N . Suppose instead that the state includes admixtures of chargeless ($I_3 = 0$) states with $I \neq 0$:

$$|a, N\rangle = \sum_I a_I |I, I_3 = 0, N\rangle \quad (4)$$

where $\sum_I |a_I|^2 = 1$. Such admixtures are presumed to arise from isospin violating dynamics. The principal result of this letter is that given such admixtures the probability distribution for R , the ratio of neutral to total pions in the coherent state

$$P(R) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{R}} \left| \sum_I a_I \sqrt{2I+1} P_I(\sqrt{R}) \right|^2 \quad (5)$$

where P_I is the I^{th} Legendre polynomial and it is assumed that $\langle N \rangle \gg 1$. Equation (5) is derived from the properties of the coherent state.

Equation (5) implies small admixtures of $I \neq 0$ components can alter $P(R)$ significantly. The probability distribution, $P(R)$, is plotted in fig. 1 for the case where the wave function has two components, $I = 2$ along with $I = 0$. Even when the $I = 2$ components have a probability of a few percent, the probability distributions are markedly different from the pure $I = 0$ case.

The average value of R in the distribution, $\langle R \rangle$ is particularly interesting. If the state is a superposition of isospin projected states as in eq. (4), then $\langle R \rangle$ is given by:

$$\langle R \rangle = \sum_I \left[2\text{Re}(a_I a_{I+2}^*) \frac{(I+2)(I+1)}{(2I+3)\sqrt{(2I+1)(2I+5)}} + |a_I|^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{I(I+1)}{(2I-1)(2I+3)} \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

In fig. 2 the expectation value of R is shown for states with $I = 0$ and $I = 2$ components. As the probability of the $I = 2$ component increases, $\langle R \rangle$ begins to differ substantially from $1/3$.

The average value of R is significant since it provides a possible signature of coherence which survives even if multiple regions of coherent pions are formed. For the pure $I = 0$

case, $\langle R \rangle = 1/3$ which is exactly the same as one finds in a purely statistical model in which pions are emitted without correlations. The signature which differentiates an $I = 0$ source of coherent pions from statistical emission are the higher moments of the distribution: a source of coherent pions will give larger fluctuations around the mean than purely statistical emission. Such a signature will be diluted if there are multiple and uncorrelated regions of coherent pions [17]; multiple regions imply a combined distribution which will have smaller fluctuations around the mean. If the number of regions is large the distribution will be sharply peaked about $1/3$ and it will be difficult to distinguish from a purely statistical distribution.

For $\langle R \rangle \neq 1/3$ to be a clear signal of isospin violation and coherence, $\langle R \rangle$ must differ substantially from $1/3$. There is isospin violation in incoherent processes as well. For example, in a statistical model, the phase space differences due to the mass differences between the charge and neutral pions can easily give rise to deviations from $1/3$. One might expect this effect to enhance $\langle R \rangle$ by about .01; other sources of isospin violation might contribute similarly. Thus, to have a definitive signature one would need to have $\langle R \rangle$ differ from $1/3$ by several percent.

Whether isospin violations and the formation of regions of coherent pions alter $\langle R \rangle$ enough to provide a clear signal depends on the dynamics of how the coherent state gets formed. Presently, there is no reliable dynamical model for this. One can try instead to get insights from some very general considerations. Suppose the characteristic fractional effect of isospin violation on some strong interaction process is ϵ , where ϵ is perhaps of the order of a couple of percent. Clearly isospin admixtures in a coherent state with an amplitude of ϵ will be difficult to distinguish from statistical processes with isospin violations of the same order. However, if the isospin admixtures in the coherent state are characteristically larger than order ϵ , then $\langle R \rangle$ may differ from $1/3$ by more than order ϵ . If the admixtures of $I \neq 0$ components grow with the number of pions in the condensed state, then, even for modest N , one should expect $\langle R \rangle$ to differ from $1/3$ by more than order ϵ .

It is not implausible that $I \neq 0$ admixtures grow with N . First, note that in processes

which do not involve coherent states the $I = 0$ component typically degrades linearly with N for small N — each additional particle contributes to the isospin breaking. Of course, coherence could conceivably suppress this effect. However, there is also another suggestive argument. Consider a coherent state projected on fixed particle number N and $I = 0$. Such a state can be written as

$$|N, I = 0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(N+1)!}} [2 a_+^\dagger a_-^\dagger - a_0^\dagger a_0^\dagger]^{N/2} |0\rangle. \quad (7)$$

This state can be viewed as a condensed state of $I = 0$ pairs of pions rather than a condensed state of pions; the pair operator given by $a_0^\dagger a_0^\dagger - 2 a_+^\dagger a_-^\dagger$. It is natural to suppose that in the presence of isospin violating interactions the state remains a condensed state of chargeless pairs of pions, but that pairs are no longer pure $I = 0$:

$$|N, \delta\rangle = \mathcal{N} [2 a_+^\dagger a_-^\dagger - e^\delta a_0^\dagger a_0^\dagger]^{N/2} |0\rangle, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is a normalization constant. It is reasonable to conjecture that δ is of order of the typical isospin violating amplitude and is independent of N . If this is true, then the $I \neq 0$ amplitude grows with N .

If the isospin-mixed-number-projected coherent state is the one given in eq. (8), then for $N \gg 1$ the probability distribution for R is given by

$$P_{\delta N}(R) = \frac{e^{N\delta R}}{2\sqrt{R} \int_0^1 dx e^{N\delta x^2}} \quad (9)$$

The distribution, $P_{\delta N}$ depends only on the product $N\delta$ rather than on δ and N separately: isospin violating effects parameterized by δ clearly grow with N . For $\delta > 0$ the distribution weighs larger values of R heavily; if $N\delta$ is large and positive the distribution is strongly peaked at $R = 1$. Conversely, negative δ 's emphasize small values of R ; if $N\delta$ is large and negative the distribution is strongly peaked at $R = 0$.

The expectation value of R in this distribution is

$$\langle R \rangle_{N\delta} = \frac{\int_0^1 dx x^2 e^{N\delta x^2}}{\int_0^1 dx e^{N\delta x^2}} \quad (10)$$

This function is plotted versus $N\delta$ in fig. 3. As expected the function goes to 1 (zero) for large positive (negative) values of $N\delta$. If $\langle R \rangle$ were nearly 1 or zero would provide a truly spectacular signature. This requires $N|\delta| \gg 1$ which for reasonable values of Δ implies N must be several hundred; this is unlikely in most scenarios. For relatively small values of δN , there is an approximately linear relationship with $\langle R \rangle_{N\delta} \approx 1/3 + N\delta/5$. Thus, if N were a few tens of particles or larger, one should have $\langle R \rangle$ sufficiently far from $1/3$ to give a clean signature provided δ is not unnaturally small.

To summarize: if a source of coherent pions such as a disoriented chiral condensate forms in a heavy ion reaction, one expects isospin violating interactions to alter the distribution of the ratio of neutral to total pions in the condensate, R . If isospin violating effects cause admixtures of $I \neq 0$ components with a probability of even a few percent, the resultant distribution can be characteristically different from the $R^{-1/2}/2$ distribution expected in the absence of isospin violation. Moreover, if the probability of $I \neq 0$ components grows with the number of particles in the condensate as seen, for example, in the state of eq. (8), then the expectation value of R can be significantly different from $1/3$ providing a very convincing signature for coherent pions. Such a signature will not be washed out if multiple regions of coherent pions form. If such an effect were observed it would be compelling evidence for coherent pions.

Conversations with A. A. Anselm, S. Nussinov and R. Amado are gratefully acknowledged. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (grant no. DE-FG02-93ER-40762) and the National Science Foundation (grant no. PHY-9058487).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Anselm, JETP Lett. **48** (1988) 51.
- [2] A. A. Anselm, Phys. Lett. **B217** (1989) 169.
- [3] A. A. Anselm and M.G. Ryskin, Phys. Lett. **B266** (1991) 482.
- [4] J. P. Blaizot and A. Krzywicki, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 246; **D50** (1994) 442.
- [5] J. D. Bjorken, SLAC-PUB-5692; SLAC-PUB-5545 published in Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992) 4189; Acta. Physica Polonica **B23** (1992) 637.
- [6] K. L. Kowalski and C. C. Taylor, preprint CWRUTH-92-6 (1992)
- [7] J. D. Bjorken, K. L. Kowalski and C. C. Taylor, preprint SLAC-PUB-6109 (1993).
- [8] K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B379** (1993) 395; **B404** (1993) 577.
- [9] C. Greiner, C. Gong and B. Müller, Phys. Lett. **316** (1993) 226.
- [10] S. Yu. Khlebnikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8** (1993) 19901.
- [11] I. I. Kogan, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 3971; JETP Lett. **59** (1994) 307.
- [12] A. Krzywicki, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 5190.
- [13] J. P. Blaizot and D. Dyakonov Phys. Lett. **B315** (1993) 226.
- [14] P. F. Bedaque and A. Das, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8** (1993) 3151.
- [15] A. A. Anselm and Myron Bander, JETP Lett. **59** (1994) 503.
- [16] Z. Huang, Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994) 16.
- [17] S. Gavin, A. Gocksch and R. D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72** (1994) 2143.
- [18] Z. Huang and X. Wang Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994) 4335.
- [19] S. Gavin and B. Müller, Phys. Lett. **B329** (1994) 486.

- [20] T. D. Cohen, M. K. Banerjee, M. Nielsen and X. Jin, Phys. Lett. **B333** (1994) 166.
- [21] Z. Huang, M. Suzuki, X. Wang, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 2277.
- [22] R. Amado and I. I. Kogan, Phys. Rev. **D51** (1995) 190.
- [23] M. Asakawa, Z. Huang, X. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 3126.
- [24] M. Martinis, V. Mikuta-Martinis, A. Svarc, J. Crnugelj, Fizika **B3** (1994) 197; Phys. Rev. **D51** (1995) 2482.
- [25] Z. Huang, M. Suzuki Phys. Rev. **D52** (1995) 2610; preprint LBL-37524 (1995).
- [26] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, Phys. Rev. **D51** (1995) 734.
- [27] Zheng Huang, Phys.Rev. **D49** (1994) 16.
- [28] R. D. Amado, F. Cannata, J. P. Dedonder, M. P. Locher and B. Shao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72** (1994) 72; Phys. Rev. **C50** (1994) 640.
- [29] B. Shao and R. D. Amado, Phys. Rev. **C50** (1994) 1787.
- [30] For a review of coherent states and their applications see J. R. Klauder and R. S. Skagerstam, *Coherent State, Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics* (World Scientific, Singapore 1985).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The probability distribution as a function of R , the ratio of charged to neutral pions in the condensed state based on eq. (5). The solid curve is based on a pure $I = 0$ state, (*i.e.*, $a_j = 0$ for $j \neq 0$). The dashed and dot-dashed curves are based on states which are $I = 0$ except for an admixture $I = 2$ with a probability of .03. The dashed (dot-dashed) curve corresponds to a_0 with the same (opposite) sign as a_2 .

FIG. 2. The expectation value of R for coherent states with only $I = 0$ and $I = 2$ components, as a function of $p_2 = |a_2|^2$, the probability of the $I = 2$ admixture. The solid (dashed) curve correspond to a_0 with the opposite (same) sign as a_2 .

FIG. 3. The expectation value of R for the states in eq. (8) as a function $N\delta$.